

**GOSSNER EVANGELICAL – LUTHERAN CHURCH  
IN CHOTANAGPUR AND ASSAM**

**GELC ARCHIVE**

Signature: **GELC-A \_ 001 \_ 0600**

Classification:

Original File No.

**Title**

Head Supervisor of Schools

Volume:

Running from year: 1932                      till year: 1939

**Content:**

- Correspondence between the Head Supervisor of Schools and Inspectors of Schools, Ranchi.

No. 262

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 11th February, 1939.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 959/4F-1-39, dated the 9th February, 1939, forwarding copy of letter No. 608, dated 24-1-39, from the Inspector of Schools, Chotanagpur division, addressed to you, I have the honour to inform you that the Inspector of Schools, Chotanagpur division, once permitted an increase of fees under his letter No. 4281, dated 12-11-32 of -/4/- in middle English classes and of -/2/- and -/1/ in Primary classes over the standard fees prescribed for aborigines. But by doing so it was found out that the rolls in those classes decreased much and therefore the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi, under his letter No. 4135/4F-1-34, permitted to restore the standard rates of the Ed. Code in accordance with note (ii) of Art. 278 and therefore since January 1, 1935, -/2/- is charged in the Infant and class I of the Lutheran middle English Schools.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Office of the District Inspector of Schools,

Ranchi.

Memo No. 959  
4-1-39.

Ranchi, the 9<sup>th</sup> February, 1939.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi with reference to his letter No. 1622, dated the 7th December, 1938, for favour of a report on the points raised by the Inspector of Schools, Chota Nagpur Division.

*C. B. Anand*

District Inspector of Schools.

A copy of letter No. 608, dated the 24th January, 1939 from the Inspector of Schools, Chota Nagpur Division.

.....

" With reference to your letter No. 50, dated the nil, I have the honour to request you to let me know the rules or orders under which the fees at the rate of annas two is charged in the infant class and in class I of the Lutheran Middle English Schools. Please also let me know the date from which this rate has been enforced in the schools."

.....

NO. 659

**RANCHI, DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE.**

From S. Purti, Esqr.,

~~Rai Bahadur Sarat Chandra Ray, M. A. B. L. M. L. C.~~

Vice-Chairman.

*District Board, Ranchi.*

To The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 8 2 1934  
Ref:- Your No. 104 d/-17.1.34  
Sub:- School fees.

Sir,

I have the honour to accord my sanction to the rates of fees proposed for the lutheran schools under the District Board.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

**Head Supervisor,**  
*Lutheran Schools.*

Date of receipt	10-2-34 Ranchi
Diary No.	27
File No.	e-7
No. and date of reply	

*Sarwanee Purti*  
Vice-Chairman

Ranchi District Board.

6/2

h  
7/2.

No. 104

From

The/ Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The Vice-Chairman,  
District Board, Ranchi.

Through

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 17th January, 1934.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. <sup>120</sup>-----  
3P- 3- 33  
dated the 13th January, 1934, I have the honour to inform you that school fees were introduced in G. E. L. Schools by the Mission authorities since the Mission established schools in the Mission area among the aboriginals. The District Boards were, however, permitted by Government to exempt the aboriginals from the payment of school fees (vide D. P. I's Circular No. 16-1F-6-25, dated 8.9.25, the copy of which is enclosed herewith). But, however, the same Circular allows the District Boards the levy of fees from aboriginals to be continued in areas where the aboriginals have actually been paying fees either in cash or in kind. So, in accordance with the said Circular the G. E. L. Church has been levying fees in its schools allowing 20% of pupils to read free and in order to make the fees uniform the fee rates in G. E. L. Schools were up to December, 1932, as follows :-

Class	Aborig.	Non-aborig.
I	-2-	-4-
II	-3-	-6-
III	-4-	-8-
IV	-4-	1-4-
V	-6-	1-4-
VI	-8-	1-8-
VII	-10-	1-8-

The above rates are in accordance with what has been prescribed by the Education Code, B & O.

Later, on account of the financial stringency the G. E. L. Church approached the Inspector of Schools, Chotanagpur Division, through this office ~~in connection~~ and got his sanction to raise the fees from January, 1933, since when the fee rates are as follows :- ( vide Inspector of Schools, C. N. Division's letter No. 4281, dated 12.11.32, addressed to the D.I/S, Ranchi ).

copy attached

Class	Aborig	Non-aborig.
I	-3-	-4-
II	-4-	-6-
III	-5-	-8-
IV	-6-	1-4-
V	-8-	1-4-
VI	-12-	1-8-
VII	-14-	1-8-

Now, on account of the present world depression it has been found that the roll number, especially in classes I & II, has considerably fallen down and therefore to increase the roll number and to attract more children in lower classes of schools the Church Council of the said Church passed a resolution to direct this office to get the sanction of the Department to lower down the fee rates and levy fees as follows :-

1. In Municipal areas but not within the Ranchi Municipality and from the aboriginals:-

Class I ..... Anna One (-1-) only p. m.  
 ,, II ..... Annas two (-2-) ,, ,,  
 ,, III ..... ,, four (-4-) ,, ,,

2. In village Schools which are not within any Municipality :-

Class I ..... nil  
 ,, II ..... annas two (-2-) p.m.  
 ,, III ..... ,, four (-4-) p.m.

Fees for non-aboriginals will remain as they are at present and fees for aboriginals in classes above class III also will remain as at present.

Directed, therefore, by the Church Council I lay the above proposal before you for your kind and favourable consideration and request you to sanction that in G. E. L.

Schools fees may be charged henceforth according to rates mentioned in No. 1 and No. 2 in page 2.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'N. S. Singh', written in dark ink.

Head Supervisor,

Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No.

Ranchi.  
February 10, 1934.

Rev. ....

11  
Padri *Randm, chairman Amara* ko bahut yishusahay.  
*gunda*

Ap ko malum howe ki Ranchi district Board ne ab manjur kiya hai ki hamare schoolon men ab Class I men kuchh fees na liya jawe arthat we school jo kisi municipality ke bhitari nahin hain. Yah aisa kiya jata hai ki school men bishes karke class I & II men anek larke aur larkiyani school men awe aur sikhne sake. So ab dkhie ki anek chhatr bishes karke class I men bahut chhatr paye ja saken. Fees ab niche likhit anusar liya jawe :-

1. Jo school kisi municipality ke andar hain :-

	Aboriginals	Non-aboriginals
Class I	-1- p.m.	Jaisa pahile tha
.. II	-2- ..	..
.. III	-4- ..	..
.. IV	-6- ..	..
.. V	-8- ..	..

2. Jo School kisi municipality ke andar nahin hain :-

Class		Jaisa pahile tha
I	nil	
.. II	-2-	..
.. III	-4-	..
.. IV	-6-	..
.. V	-8-	..

Yours sincerely,

*V.S.*  
Head Supervisor,

Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 166

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 27th January, 1934.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. <sup>247</sup>-----  
dated the 24th January, 1934, ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ <sup>3P. 3- 33</sup> I have the  
honour to inform you that the only school under the su-  
pervision of this office and which is ~~xxxxx~~ within a Muni-  
cipal area is the Lohardaga Lutheran M. E. School. The Gumla  
Lutheran U. P. School also is probably not within a Muni-  
cipality. They are, therefore, not concerned much and the  
fees in those schools will be charged as the Lohardaga and  
Gumla Municipalities are charging.

The chief concern is with the schools in villages and  
therefore I request you to forward my petition contained  
in this office No. 104, dated the 17th January, 1934, to  
the Vice-Chairman, district Board, <sup>Ranchi,</sup> with your favourable  
recommendation.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 247  
3P - 3 - 33

From

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi,

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Ranchi, the 24<sup>th</sup> January, 1934.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.104, dated the 17th January, 1934, I have the honour to request you to make each separate correspondence to the Chairman of ~~xxxxxx~~ Municipality for the schools in municipal area for the purpose.

R.K.

I have the honour to be

Your most obedient servant,

*for* Harold Shaw

District Inspector of Schools  
23/1/34. Ranchi

25-1-34
40
C-7

No. 1650

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 14th December, 1933.

Sir,

In continuation of this office No. 1609, dated the 30th November, 1933, I have the honour to enquire whether the rates of fees proposed by the G. E. L. Church Council to be levied from children in their schools from the beginning of next year have your sanction. The schools are to break up soon for next Christmas holidays and the children ought to know before they go to their village to tell their parents what fees they will have to pay from next year for which reason I request you to inform whether the proposal has your approval.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1506

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 16th November, 1933.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 1519, dated the 13th November, 1933, I have the honour to request you to permit the following rates of fees in ~~schools~~ under G. E. L. Schools under my supervision :-

1. In Municipal areas but not in within the Ranchi Municipality :-

Class I.....	anna ( -1- )	only.	p. m.
.. II ....	annas ( -2- )	..	..
.. III ...	annas ( -4- )	..	..

2. In village schools which are not within any Municipality :-

Class I .....	nil	
.. II .....	annas two ( -2- )	p.m.
.. III .....	annas four ( -4- )	..

Fees in classes above class III will remain the same as they are now in every school whether or not it is within a Municipal area.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

*N. S.*

No. 1323

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The Inspector of Schools,  
Chotanagpur Division, Ranchi.

Through

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 11th October, 1933.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the Church Council of the G.E.L. Church of Chotanagpur and Assam has, in its meeting of the 12th July, 1933, passed a resolution that in order to get more children in classes I & II ~~of~~ in G. E. L. Schools no kind of fees be charged <sup>aboriginal</sup> from <sup>A</sup>boys and girls of these two classes from the beginning of the year 1934. A little fees from boys and girls of classes I & II in schools which are within Municipal areas should only be taken.

Under the circumstances I request you to sanction that in G. E. L. Schools which are not within Municipal areas <sup>aboriginal</sup> no fees be charged from <sup>A</sup>boys and girls of class I, but only annas two (-2-) from those in class II and annas four (-4-) from those in class III per mensem be taken from January, 1934. Children in classes I, II and III in schools within Municipal areas may be asked to pay school fees at -1-, -2- and <sup>-4-</sup>-3-, p.m. respectively.

The extract copy of the Church Council's resolution is attached herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*H. D. N. G. G.*  
Supervisor.

Extract copy of the G.E.L. Church Council's resolution dated the 12th July, 1933.

---

11. NIMN SHIKSHA KE SCHOOLON KA PRATHMIK CLASS MEN BIDYARTHI.

Secretary ne yah bat pesh kii ki Inspector of schools ke melabaja Reporton se tatha anya niche ke Officeron ke reporton se malum parta hai ki hamare Middle Schoolon ke Primary bibhag ki pratham shreni men chhote larke lar-kiyon ke lene ka koi bishesh udyog nahin kiya jata hai. Municipal ke bhitar tatha dihat ke schoolon men Primary class men fees lene aur na lene ke sambandh men batchit hai aur yah bishay yon tay hua :-

" SANKALP : (k) Ki hamari mendli ke un bibhagiya schoolon men jo ki Municipality shahron men hai unke liye aur 2re classon se fees lii jay parantu dihat aur Out-station ke Primary schoolon ke I le aur II re classon men kisi prakar ki fees na lii jay."

Memorandum No. 1324

Dated Ranchi, the 11th October, 1933.

Copy ~~is~~ submitted to the Inspector of Schools, Chotanagpur Division, through the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1609

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 29th November, 1933.

Sir

3363

With reference to your letter No. -----  
3P-3- 33

dated the 25th November, 1933, I have the honour to in-  
form you that the rates of fees in G.E.L. Schools are as  
follows :-

From January 1, 1933, as permitted by the I/S, Chotanagpur

Class	abor.	non-aborig.
I	-3-	-4-
II	-4-	-6-
III	-5-	-8-
IV	-6-	1-4-
V	-8-	1-4-
VI	-12-	1-8-
VII	-14-	1-8-

Rates of fees previous to 1933.

I	-2-	-4-
II	-3-	-6-
III	-4-	-8-
IV	-4-	1-4-
V	-6-	1-4-
VI	-8-	1-8-
VII	-10-	1-8-

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 3363  
3P - 3 - 33.

From

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi,

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Ranchi, the 25<sup>th</sup> November, 1933.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1506, dated the 16th November, 1933, I have the honour to state that I should like to know 1st the rates of fees that are in force at present.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*Sachin Lal*

District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

25-11-1933

B.N.S.

Memo No. 1860

Office of the Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 16th November, 1932.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the Secretary, Church Council, Ranchi, for information and communication to the Church Council.



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

----

Copy of letter No. 4281, dated the 12th November, 1932, from the Inspector of Schools, Chotanagpur Division, addressed to the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

" With reference to your letter No. 3897, dated the 7th November, 1932, I have the honour to accord sanction under note (1) to Article 278 of the Education Code to the increase in the rates of fees in the Lutheran Middle English and Primary Schools by Rs.-/4/- in Middle English classes, Rs.-/2/- in Upper Primary and by Rs.-/1/- in each of classes I to III over the standard fees prescribed for aborigines. "

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools.  
Ranchi.

Date of receipt	-----
Diary No.	-----
File No.	-----
No. and date of reply	-----

Memo. No. 3846 /4F-1-32

Office of the District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Ranchi, the 15<sup>th</sup> November, 1932.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the  
Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi, for information  
and guidance, with reference to his No. 1679 dated 20-10-32.

*Harbars Kall*  
District Inspector of Schools,  
14.11.1932 Ranchi. 140

A copy of letter No. 4281, dated the 12th November,  
1932, from the Inspector of Schools, Chota Nagpur Division,  
to the address of the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

-----

"With reference to your letter No. 3897, dated the  
7th November, 1932, I have the honour to accord sanction  
under note (1) to Article 278 of the Education Code to the  
increase in the rates of fees in the Lutheran Middle English  
and Primary Schools by Rs.-/4/- in Middle English classes,  
Rs.-/2/- in Upper Primary and by Rs. -/1/- in each of classes  
I to III over the standard fees prescribed for aborigines."

-----

A.H.

14/11/32.

No.

No. 1679

From

The Head Supervisor

Ranchi.

Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

The Inspector of Schools,

Ranchi.

District Inspector of Schools,

Dated Ranchi, the 20th October, 1932.

Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 20th October, 1932.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that owing to financial stringency the G. E. L. Church Council of Ghatnagpur finds it necessary to raise the school fees for aborigines in the Lutheran M. E. and Primary Schools under my supervision. The Lutheran Primary Schools now under the Ranchi district Board do not come under my supervision and so no proposal is made to raise the fees in them.

I, therefore, request you that with effect from January 1, 1933, the Luth. M. E. and Primary Schools under my supervision may be permitted to raise the school fees for aborigines by annas four (-4-) in M. E. classes, annas two (-2-) in U.P. classes and anna one (-1-) in classes I to III, in addition to what has been prescribed for aborigines in the Ed. Code, Bihar and Orissa. The fees for non-aborigines will remain the same as prescribed in the E. Code.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,


Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

ofc  
Memo No. 1838-1843

Office of the Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 15th November, 1932.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the Head master, <sup>6</sup> M. E. School St. for information and guidance that with effect from January 1, 1933, school fees in all the Lutheran schools should be raised in accordance with the rates mentioned therein. The fees for non-aborigines will remain the same as prescribed in the E. Code.

  
Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

-----  
Copy of letter No. 4281, dated the 12th November, 1932, from the Inspector of Schools, Chotanagpur Division, to the address of the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

" With reference to your letter No. 3897, dated the 7th November, 1932, I have the honour to accord sanction under note (1) to Article 278 of the Education Code to the increase in the rates of fees in the Lutheran Middle English and Primary Schools by Rs.-/4/- in Middle English classes, Rs.-/2/- in Upper Primary and Rs.-/1/- in each of classes I to III over the standard fees prescribed for aborigines."

TO all the stations <sup>no.</sup> Primary Schools 1845-51

Ranchi.  
November 16, 1932.

Rev. ....

Padri

ke babat yishusahay.

Ap ke malum hawa ki kash C. C. ke kahin se rupaiya nahin milne ke karan aur masteron ke un ka uchi talap na milne ke karan Church Council ne bichar kiya ki anwale sal yani 1933 ke January mahine se school fees kuchh barha diya jay. Is bat ke Chotanspur Division ke Inspector sahib bhi ne manjur kiya. So January mahine se ap aapne schoolen man niman metabik fees larke aur larkiyon se lijiye, arthat :-

Jitna fees abhi liya jata hai usse adhik is riti se :-


Class I, II & III man abhi se ek anna beshi (-1-).

.. IV & V man man abhi se do anna (-2-) beshi.

.. VII & VII man abhi se oher anne (-4-) beshi.

Yeh kawal adi niussahiyn ke liye hai, je adi niwashi nahin hain un ka fees te jaise pahile tha waise hi rabe.

Age shukhi,

  
Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools.

Memo. No. 4093  
4F-1-25

Ranchi, Office of the Inspector of Schools,  
Chota Nagpur Division.

Ranchi, the 13<sup>th</sup> October, 1925.

Receipt No.	
File No.	
No. and date of receipt	

14-10-25  
2206  
J.S. 12.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the *Head*  
*Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi*, for  
information and guidance.

*J. S. 12*

for Offg:Inspector of Schools,  
Chota Nagpur Division.

- (1) A copy of letter No. 10352, dated 8th October, 1925, from the Director of Public Instruction, Bihar & Orissa.
- (2) A copy of Circular No. 16, dated 8th September, 1925, from the Director of Public Instruction, Bihar and Orissa.

-----  
(1)

"I have the honour to refer to your letter No.2065, dated the 8th of May, 1925, and to the subsequent correspondence leading to the issue of my Circular No. 16, dated the 8th of September, 1925. With regard to the selection of candidates for the 20 per cent of free-studentships I shall be glad if the mission authorities will give special attention to the needs of non-christians, in view of their great backwardness in literacy as compared with the Christians."

-----

Ranchi.  
Oct. 16, 1925.To,  
Pastor Supervisors,  
Lutheran Primary Schools, Ranchi Dist.मा. पाद्री बाबू *Proposed memo* को यौग्य सहाय ।

- १। आप लोगों को मालुम होवे कि मा. इन्सपेक्टर साहिब बहादुर, छोटा नागपुर की ओरसे उसकी चिट्ठी नं०  $\frac{80E3}{8F-2-24}$  के द्वारा और मा. डिरेक्टर आफ पब्लिक इन्सपेक्शन बहादुर, बिहार और उड़िसा की ओर से उसकी सरकुलर नं० १६-१F-६-२५ के द्वारा हाल मिला है कि हम प्रैमरी स्कूलों में (रांची जिले में) छात्रों से स्कूल फीस ले सकते हैं पर उन चिट्ठियों में यह भी हुकुम है कि जैसा वे पहिले हुकुम दिये थे तैसा प्रत्येक स्कूल में सैकडे २० छात्र स्कूल फीस देने से आवश्यक माफ किये जावें और ऐसा करने में अखुष्टीय छात्रों के बारे विशेष ध्यान दिया जाय कि उनको माफ करने का बिचार सब से पहिले होवे क्योंकि अखुष्टीय आदिवासी खस्तानों की अपेक्षा लिखने पढ़ने में अधिक पिछड़ी है ।
- २। आप लोगों की पहिले से जना दिया गया था कि आप लोग अपने प्रैमरी स्कूलों में सैकडे २० छात्रों का फीस माफ करेंगे कि यह सरकार का हुकुम है । सरकार से ग्रैन्ट लेने में एक शर्त यही है कि हम प्रैमरी स्कूलों में सैकडे २० छात्रों को आवश्यक फ्री करें । हम नै सरकार से ग्रैन्ट पा चुका इस लिये हम को उसका नियम आवश्यक पालन करना होगा । फिर आप लोग यह न समझें कि यदि अखुष्टीय छात्रों की संख्या अधिक होवे तो वे ही अकलें फ्री होवें और खस्तान छात्र फीस देने से नहीं पर छात्रों की दशा के अनुसार दोनों प्रकार के छात्र जमा सैकडे २० तक फ्री हो सकते हैं पर अखुष्टीय छात्रों को फ्री करने का बिचार सब से पहिले होना चाहिये ।
- ३। आप अपने क्वार्टरली रिपोर्ट में यह भी हिसाब दीजिये कि आप के स्कूलों में फ्री छात्रों की संख्या कितनी है और उन में से खस्तान छात्र और अखुष्टीय छात्र कितने २ हैं ।

आगे शुभ,  
आप का विश्वस्त,C. W. W. W.  
Head Supervisor  
Luth. Schools.



Memo No. 120  
3P - 3 - 33

Office of the District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi,

Ranchi, the 13<sup>th</sup> January, 1934.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi, for information with the request to move the D.B. through this office reporting in details the facts as requested by the Inspector of Schools.

R.K.

*Sarkans Lall*  
District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

12-1-1934

A copy of letter No.52, dated the 4th January, 1934, from the Inspector of Schools, Chota Nagpur Division.

---

"With reference to your letter No.3519/3P.3.33, dated the 19th December, 1933, I have the honour to request ~~you~~ that you will report when and by whom the fee rates quoted in your letter were sanctioned. Please state the rates charged in Primary, Middle Vernacular and Middle English Schools separately.

As I understand the schools to which you refer are aided by the District Board any application for the introduction of special rates should be made to that Board (through ~~you~~ you) in the first instance. If the District Board desires the introduction of special rates in any case the Board's proposals may then be sent to me for sanction (see Article 277, Note (iii) in this connection).

In making any recommendation to the District Board you will, of course, consider whether the circumstances justify special rates for a particular community."


*Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools,*

*15-1-34 Ranchi.*

	18
	C-7

Memo No. 1626-31  
Dated Ranchi, the 30th October, 1934.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the Headmaster  
6 M. E. School at ..... to inform that the District  
Inspector of Schools, Ranchi, has agreed in having permitted to bring  
down the increased fee rates in the Luth. M. E. Schools to the Stan-  
dard Rates ( Ed. Code Rates ) .

  
Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

-----  
4135  
Copy of letter No. ----- dated 27. 10. 34, from the D.I/S,  
4P- 1- 34  
Ranchi, to the address of this office.

" With reference to your letter No. 1582, dated the 24th Octo-  
ber, 1934, I have the honour to state that the proposal in question  
has my approval."

No. 4135  
4F-1-34

From

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi,

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi,

Ranchi, the 27<sup>th</sup> October, 1934.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1582, dated the 24th October, 1934, I have the honour to state that the proposal in question has my approval.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, servant,

*LLM*  
District Inspector of Schools,

Ranchi.

27.10.34

R.K. 27.10.34.

No. 1582

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 25th October, 1934.

Sir,

I have the honour to request you to permit the Lutheran M. E. Schools to levy, with effect from the 1st January, 1935, school fees at the standard rates as prescribed by the Ed. Code because it has been found out by experience in two years that on account of the increased rates of fees the roll numbers of the schools have been greatly reduced owing to which their income also has come down. If the former rates ( the standard rates of the Ed. Code ) be restored it is believed that their roll numbers will again increase and thereby their income also.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 361

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 20th February, 1936.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. <sup>674</sup>-----  
dated the 18th February, 1936, I have the honour to  
inform you that under the same authority ( i. e. your  
memo No. 2336, dated 30-7-32 ) the contingent allotment  
has been reduced on account of the financial stringency  
of the Church. It ought to have been reduced to Rs 13/-  
p.m. but because of the difficulty of the Church the head-  
master spent a rupee less for his other expenses. Now,  
when the auditor raises objection to it Rs 13/- will  
henceforth be always allotted permonth for contingency.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No 674  
1A-1-36.

From

The District Inspector of schools,  
Ranchi.

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran schools,  
Ranchi.

Ranchi, the 18<sup>th</sup> February, 1936.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 20 dt. 3.1.36, containing marginal notes on the audit objections - of the Auditor of the Account of Aided institutions in Orissa and Chotanagpur, on the Burju M.E. school I have the honour to enquire that in this office - memo no. 2336 dated 30.7.32, a reduction of contingent allotment to the extent of Rs 2/- only was sanctioned, would you please inform me the authority on which it was reduced by Rs. 3/-?

An early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be

sir,

your most obedient servant.

*A. G. G. G.*  
District Inspector of schools  
18/2/36

Memo. No. 2336  
IA-1-1932

Office of the District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Ranchi, the 30<sup>th</sup> July, 1932.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi, for information and guidance, with ---- reference to his letter No. 1110, dated the 12th July, 1932.

*Shankar Lal*  
District Inspector of Schools,  
29/7/32 Ranchi.

A copy of letter No. 3029, dated the 26th July, 1932, from the Inspector of Schools, Chota Nagpur Division, to the address of the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

-----  
"With reference to your letter No. 2142, dated the 16th July, 1932, I have the honour to say that I regret I cannot agree to the using of Building and library balances. I agree as an emergency matter during the currency of the cut, to the reduction of the monthly allotments under these heads to Rs. 5 and Rs. 2 respectively and to the discontinuance of the prize allotment. Any balance in the prize may be used for general purposes."

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools  
Ranchi.

Date of receipt	1-8-32
Serial No.	758
Page No.	2-7
A.H. No.	

Memo No. 1222 27

Office of the Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 2nd August, 1932.

The undermentioned document is forwarded to the Headmaster, M. E. School at <sup>Rajin, Purnia</sup> ~~Kin, Raj, Purnia~~ <sup>+ Takarua</sup> for information and guidance with the ~~request~~ permission to allot only Rs 5/- p.m. for repairs to building and Rs 2/- p.m. for Library and to discontinue the allotment for prizes as long as there is financial difficulty in the Church. The balances if borrowed from the above accounts should be refunded out of the money now ~~sent~~ being sent to ~~him~~.

*N. S. Ray*  
Head Supervisor,

Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

-----  
Copy of letter No. 3029, dated the 26th July, 1932, from the Inspector of Schools, Chotanagpur Division, to the address of the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

" With reference to your letter No. 2142, dated the 16th July, 1932, I have the honour to say that I regret I cannot agree to the using of Building and library balances. I agree as an emergency matter during the currency of the cut, to the reduction of the monthly allotments under these heads to Rs 5/- and Rs 2/- respectively and to the discontinuance of the prize allotment. Any balance in the prize may be used for general purposes."

Ranchi.  
July 30, 1934.The Church Council,  
G. E. L. Church of Chotanagpur and Assam, Ranchi.

Sir,

In regretfully forwarding the petition of Babu M. Topone of the Gobindpur M. E. School through the Pracharakpan panch, Prish panch and the Ilaka panch I beg to ~~say~~ remark that the petitioner is greatly dissatisfied with the decision of the C. C. in sending him away from the Gobindpur school and in doing so he proves himself insolent, turbulent, proud and self-conceited and disobedient. He knows fully that owing to low roll number of the school two teachers must go away from the school but when the roll number will increase they may be called back. If he had ~~been~~ kept quiet and humbly submitted to the decision of the C. C. he might have been recommended by this office to be called back as soon as the roll number of the school increased but he seems to <sup>be</sup> insubordinate and turbulent and so I cannot recommend him to call him back even when the roll number will increase later.

The teachers who were given leave from the school are Babu S. Barla who is keeping quiet all the time and Babu M. Topone who is trying to move the whole Gobindpur area to rise for him. Both the teachers, when they were given ~~by~~ leave sent their appeal to the C. C. but their appeal was not granted to them, and they were informed about this through their headmaster.

They were informed that their services are not needed in the school on 21-2-34, under this office No. 314.

They were informed that their appeal was rejected under this office No. 440-41, dated 28-3-34.

Subsequently Babu M. Topone approached the D. I/S, Ranchi, by sending to him an application rebuking the C. C. that they committed partiality in sending him away. He can never prove that the C. C. ~~was~~ ~~partly~~ committed partiality in sending him away and yet he brought this charge to a Govt. officer against the C. C.

Then again, he sent to the D.I/S, Ranchi, an extract copy of the remarks of the D. I/S, on the Gobindpur M. E. School and tried to prove that the remark of the D.I/S, was against a teacher who was incompetent and who should be sent away. The extract copy of the remark was <sup>is</sup> sent to the C. C. which runs as follows : ( dated 23-11-32 ).

" The staff consists of ten teachers purely for secular instruction, while apart from this, there is an extra teacher for religious instruction as well. The following statement gives necessary particulars regarding the teachers' educational qualifications, emoluments and length of service in each case:-

Ser.No.	design.	Name	Qlftn	sal	length of service
1.	Hd. m.	Mr. Toppo	I.A.Lt.T.	Rs 80	Since 1923.
2.	2ndm.	C.M.Mundu	Mtr.C.T.	.. 40	for 9 yrs
3.	3rd m.	J. Horo	Mtr.C.T.	.. 30	4 ..
4.	Hd.pdt	Pr. kerketa	V.M.C.T.	.. 30	10 ..
5.	2nd pdt	M.P.Tiru	V.M.C.T.	.. 30	4 ..
6.	3rd pdt	M.Topone	C.T.	.. 30	2 ..
7.	4th pdt	P. Kongari	M.V.E.T.	.. 18	7 ..
8.	5th ..	M. Lakra	do	.. 16	12 ..
9.	6th ..	S, Barla	do	.. 15	7 ..
10.	7th ..	S, Topone	do	.. 15	1 ..

The teachers well qualified and it leaves little or nothing to be desired

desired on the score. I am afraid, however, the post of the 7th pandit would hardly seem to admit of justification in the face of the existing numerical strength of the school "

The remark of the D.I/S, Ranchi does not say that any teacher is incompetent and should be sent away. The remark is only that the roll number of the school is low and so the 7th pandit i. e. one of the seven pandits be given leave, and not that Babu S. Topono who is put down against No. 7. Then again, the D.I. took the length of services of teachers in the Gobindpur M.E. School only and not their services of other places. The C. C. took the services of the teachers in their other places also. So, the C. C. is justified in giving leave to Babu M. Topono and Babu S. Barla.

The Gobindpur panch says that great <sup>good</sup> is done by him for the mandli but I ~~say~~ ask what particular good has been done by him. The only thing

I find ~~find~~ that he seems to be insubordinate and quarrelsome and is fighting for his self against his authorities, the C.C. and the Hd. Supr before the Govt officer and therefore my strong protest is

That until he makes an apology to this office and Church Council for his insubordinate behaviour and withdraws his petition that he has submitted to the D. ~~is~~ I/S, Ranchi, he should never be taken back in any of the G. E. L. Schools even when the roll number in schools will increase. He should inform the D.I/S, also that he acted turbulently in sending to his application to get back his teachership.  
him him

The Headmaster of the school should have explained all these to him and the Ilaka panch but it seems that he also is against the decision of the C. C. He likes to retain his service because he could have assisted him in teaching English but I should say that other schools also with the exception of the Takarma M. E. have only one ~~assistant~~ English assistant teacher to assist the headmaster to ~~English~~ teach English.

Yours sincerely,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

P.S.

He ~~writes~~ gives an extract copy of my reply to the D.I/S saying that he has been removed entirely from the service of the Church but I say, and it is true, that for the present he can never be taken back because of the low roll number of the school and probably of later time which I don't know because of his insubordinate behaviour.

N. S.

No. 721

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 31st May, 1934.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2308/3A-3-34, dated the 29th instant, I have the honour to inform you that all the detailed accounts required in respect of giving leave to Babu M. Topono and Babu S. Barla were furnished to you under this office No. 497, dated the 12th April, 1934.

It is quite true that notice had been at first served on Babu Paulus Kongari and Babu Masihprakash Tiru to leave the school because the managing committee of the school recommended that one C.T. and an E. T. teacher should leave the school and it is not because that they are incapable and inefficient teachers but that one C.T. and one E.T. should leave the school. The length of their services was not taken into consideration. At this the two teachers applied to the Church Council to retain their services by making certain points before sending away two teachers from the school because they also agreed that two teachers from the school should go away. The Council, then, made a point that two teachers of the shortest service should be given notice to leave the school. Consequently the services of Babu M. Topono and of Babu S. Barla were found to be the shortest and they were asked to leave the school. ~~in~~ sending away the last named teachers the C. Council considered the qualifications and efficiency of ~~the~~ all the teachers of the Gobindpur M. E. School.

3. The petitioner says that there are other teachers in other schools who are of the shorter service than he. It is quite so but the C. C. did not purposely touch the matters of the teachers of other schools because then the

matter of finance will involve in sending the teachers from one school to another in which case it will be, in the time of financial stringency, put into great difficulty. Moreover, other schools would have been greatly disturbed.

4. The petitioner was a permanent employee, no doubt, but all other teachers are also permanent workers of the Church.

5. The petitioner says that the managing committee of the school decided twice to keep them in the school. It is not twice but ~~once~~ the M.C. recommended once the names of Babu M. Tiru and P. Kngari and the C. C. which is the supreme authority did not agree to the decision of the M. C. and decided ~~otherwise~~ because all teachers are fully qualified and efficient to teach their subjects. No application from the public has ever been received in this office nor in the office of the C. Council.

6. The petitioner wanted to give ~~an~~ extract from the ~~re-~~ inspection remarks of the D. I/S, Ranchi, but he stops from quoting the remarks. But he should know that the remarks were not against one whose service was retained but against one candidate who is no longer in the school.

7. The petitioner should know that the Church Council which is the supreme authority of the Church and which is a religious Body will never do anything which he calls partiality. The Authority may be called unjust and partial ~~xxxxxxxx~~ by one when his wishes are not complied with.

In conclusion I may plainly inform you that Babu M. Topone cannot be taken back in the school for the present and I cannot say even ~~for~~ the later time because he seems to be insubordinate and turbulent. Sometime ago he behaved with me with pride and disobedience but <sup>yet</sup> it was not taken into consideration in sending him away from the school. He was asked to leave the school by the order of the Council.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

No. 2308  
3A-3-34

From

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi,

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Ranchi, the 29<sup>th</sup> May, 1934.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a copy of petition dated the 10th April, 1934, from Babu Mansidh Topno, I have the honour to request you to favour me with a detailed report on the subject.

*Para (2) of the petition ~~is~~ under reference may be considered.*

R.K. 28.5.34.

*I have the honour to be*  
Sir,  
*Your most obedient servant,*

*LLU*  
District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi  
*28/5/34*

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi,

Sir,

May I be permitted to ~~xs~~ inform you that I am a teacher of the Lutheran M.E. School at Gobindpur. I have passed the - Matriculation Test Examination and have passed the C.T. Examination from the Ranchi Training School. I have been served with a notice to leave the school saying that the period of my service is shortest I humbly beg to place before you this humble appeal for your kind consideration.

(1) That a few weeks previous such notice had been served by the Head Supervisor to two other teachers but on their request made to the Church Council they have been called back and instead I with another teacher have been asked to leave the school. It appears that all other considerations were neglected and years of service were only taken into consideration which in itself seems to be wrong

(2) That all the M.E. School are under one management and control. There are a number ~~if 6~~ of teachers working under the Church Council with the advantage of transfer from one school to another. I beg to say that there are many who have served for a period shorter than mine.

(3) That I am a permanent teacher in the Lutheran Church. I have served for seven years eight months.

(4) That the teacher who had been served with a notice of discharge and has been called back is about of the same length of service as mine with a difference of only two months.

(5) That the school managing committee and the Head Supervisor who are my immediate superiors considered the question of sending away Pandit Masih Prakash Tiru and Paulus Kongari. I believe that they did it on the grounds of qualifications, teachings and ability. Now the order has been altered simply on the ground of the length of service. This ground I do not take to be satisfactory for my removal, even if this be the ground this should not be applied to me as I have shown above that some other teachers are of shorter service than mine.

2.

(6) That the School Managing Committee has decided twice to keep us both in the school and one request from the public also has been sent to the Church Council but these have been rejected.

(7) It may be not out of place to give an extract from the District Inspector's <sup>inspection</sup> remarks which ~~xxxx~~ also have been overlooked, the copy of which is herewith enclosed.

(8) That the Head Supervisor generally abides by the recommendations of the school's Managing Committee as the accompanying copy of a letter expressly says.

The facts shown above lead me to think that partiality has been done in my case because such alteration seems to have never occurred before.

I hope ~~th~~ you will be kind enough to consider my case. For this act of your kindness I shall remain ever thankful.

I have etc.,

Sd. Mansidh Topono,

Teacher,

M.E.School, Gobindpur.

Dated,  
Gobindpur, 10.4.34.

R.K. 28.5.34.

No. 497

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 12th April, 1934.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. <sup>1564</sup>-----  
dated the 10th April, 1934, I have the honour to  
inform you as follows :-

1. The ~~maxima~~ length of service of the C.T. teachers  
of the Gobindpur M. E. as recorded in their ser-  
vice record kept in this office:

a, Babu J. Hero entered the service of the  
Church as a teacher in 1924 in the month of July.

b, Babu M. P. Tiru, in 1926, in April.

c, .. Mansidh Topono, in 1926, in June

Consequently Babu Mansidh Topono was given leave  
because his service was found to be the shortest.

2. Ditto of the E. T. teachers:

a, Babu Paulus Kongari entered the service of the  
Church in January, 1913.

b, Babu Samuel Topono, in June, 1915.

c, .. Samuel Barla, in January, 1916.

So, the service of Babu Samuel Barla being the  
shortest, he was given leave.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1584  
11-1-34

From

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi,

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi,  
Ranchi, the 10<sup>th</sup> April, 1934.

Sir,

With reference to your No.473, dated the 4th April, 1934, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to favour me with the real ~~and~~ facts in full in connection with paragraph ~~1~~ 1 of my last inspection note on Govindpur Lutheran M.E.School.

R.K. 10.4.34.

*I have the honour to be*  
*Sir,*  
*Your most obedient servant,*

*Sachin Lal*  
District Inspector of Schools  
Ranchi

10.4.34

**Head Supervisor,**  
Lutheran Schools.  
Ranchi.

Date of receipt	11-4-34
Diary No.	252
File No.	J-1
Recd. and date	

Extract from the Inspection Notes of the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi dated, the 23rd. November 1934.

" The staff consists of ten teachers purely for secular instruction, while apart from this, there is an extra teacher for religious instruction as well. The following statement gives necessary particulars regarding the teachers educational qualifications, emoluments and length of service in each case.-

Serial No.	Designation	Name	Qualifications	Monthly salary	Length of service.
1.	Headmaster	Mr. Emanuel Toppo	I.A;L.T.	Rs. 80/-	since 1923.
2.	2nd. English teacher	" Christ Mangal Mundu	Matric C.T.	" 40/-	for 9 months
3.	3rd. English teacher	" John Horo	Matric trained	30/-	4 years
4.	Hd.Pandit	Babu Prabhusahay Kerketta	V.M;C.T.	30/-	10 years
5.	2nd.Pandit	Masihprakas Tiru	V.M.;C.T.	30/-	4 years
6.	3rd. Pandit	Mansidh Topono	C.T.	30/-	2 years
7.	4th. Pandit	Paulus Kongari	M.V;G.T.	18/-	7 years
8.	5th.Pandit	Marcas Lakra	M.V;G.T.	16/-	12 years
9.	6th.Pandit	Samuel Barla	M.V;G.T.	15/-	7 years
10.	7th.Pandit	Samuel Topono	M.V.,G.T.	15/-	1 year

The teachers seem well qualified and it leaves little or nothing to be desired on the score. I am afraid, however, the post of the 7th. pandit would hardly seem to admit of justification in the face of the existing numerical strength of the school. "


Name of teachers in the attendance register at that time in the same order in which they were in the register.-

- |    |              |     |           |
|----|--------------|-----|-----------|
| 1. | I. Toppo     | 7.  | N. MUNdu  |
| 2. | C.M.Mundnu   | 8.  | S.Topono  |
| 3. | J.Horo       | 9.  | P.Kongari |
| 4. | M.Topono     | 10. | S.Barla   |
| 5. | P.S.Kerketta | 11. | M.Lakra   |
| 6. | M.P.Tiru     |     |           |

The above mentioned document is forwarded to the Headsupervisor Lutheran Schools, Ranchi in reply to his letter No. 723 dated, Ranchi the 2nd. June 1934.

Memo No. 75

Dated, Govindpur,  
The 6th. June 1934

  
Headmaster,  
Lutheran M.E.School, Govindpur.

The Headsupervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.


Dated, Govindpur, the 8th. June 1934.

Dear Sir,

I am very sorry to learn that you did not receive my programme which I sent you for your information. It must have got lost. Any way I have now completed my tour. In all the Parishes I read out the Hindi translation of your letter and explained to them what the Divisional Inspector means. None of the Parishes have given any answer to the question. All are waiting for the Am Sabha of the whole Ilaka which is to meet on the 13th. inst. I wanted to get the signatures of the M.C.members in the Grant Deed but they are unwilling to do so without seeing the result of the Am Sabha . I am therefore calling for a meeting of the S.M.C. on the 14th. inst. immediately after the Am Sabha . I request you to kindly be present for the Am Sabha and the S.M.C.meeting. The Agenda for both of them is how to finance the M.E.School.

Memo No. 76

Dated, the 8th. June 1934.

  
Headmaster,  
Lutheran M.E.School,  
Govindpur.

श्रीमान् मान्यवत् महाराज आप-को-मेरा सप्रेम  
कृत्य सहय -

बड़े आपशेष की बाल है कि आप गोविन्दपुर  
आये थे उस समय मुलाकत नहीं होने सका। मैं- आपका  
विशेष काम के लिये लपटा गया था, और आप लोगों के  
आपने की बात में मालूम नहीं हुई। आपसे मेरी  
मुलाकत न होनेसे मुझको दिल में बड़ा दुःख हुआ।

जिससे मुझको आपके पास चिट्ठी लिखने की जरूरत  
आन पड़ी। आपको जनाते हैं कि मैं अपना काम में  
ठीले नहीं होंगे, ईश्वर की सहायता से जहां तक हो सके  
आपने कामको दिल से करूंगा -

मुझको मालूम है कि डिप्टी-इन्स्पेक्टर आप स्कूल का  
मिजिस्ट्रि-रिमाक जो 22-11-1832 को हुई आपके पास  
भेजा गया है, जिसमें वह रिमाक मेरे ऊपर घटाया जाता  
है सो मेरे समय में नहीं आता है क्योंकि यह रिमाक 1833  
का है और रिमाक Mr. Paudill के नाम पर है, मेरा नाम  
Mr. Paudill के जन्म पर है। मैं उस दिन का मिजिस्ट्रि  
रिमाक P. S. B. के नाम पर आपके पास भेजा हूँ  
और आपसे कहते हैं कि P. S. B. का इस तारीख के मिजिस्ट्रि  
जोमिस कापी जरूर आपके जोमिस में है, मेहरवाण  
कर Designation को सब जगहों को मिला लीजिये।  
इसका पता सदाकत पी. ई. ९.

Visit का नतीजा :-

Serial no.	Designation	name	qualification	monthly salary	length of service
1	Hd master	J Topo	J.H.S.T.	80/-	1973.
2	2nd "	C. M. Munda	met. C.T.	60/-	9 months
3	3rd English master	J. Hara	met. C.T.	30/-	4 years
4	Hd Pandit	P. S. Kakilla	P.M. C.T.	30/-	14 years
5	2nd "	M. Tiru	P.M. C.T.	30/-	4 years
6	3rd "	M. Topo	C.T.	30/-	2 years
7	4th "	P. Kengari	M.V.C.T.	18/-	7 years
8	5th "	M. Lakra	M.V.C.T.	16/-	12 years
9	6th "	S. Parla	M.V.C.T.	15/-	7 years
10	7th "	S. Topo	M.V.C.T.	15/-	1 year

The Teachers seem well qualified and it leaves little or nothing to be desired on the score. I am afraid, however, the post of the 7th Pandit would hardly seem to admit of justification in the face of the existing numerical strength of the school.

मैंने इसमें 3rd Pandit का स्थान देखा है और 7th Pandit का स्थान देखा है। सुझाव दे पाए, मनसिरु तो पाएले परल मेरिचियु मयु होर वीजी मनसिरु तो पाएली का सतिरि देवी दिखवायु गपुह सो मेरे होवा है। रहे सिमजते है।  
 हेमका हम तीनों (M. Tiru, P. Kengari, S. Topo) के सतिरि देवी रिपोर्ट देकर D. J. के पास गेवा है सब उमरि देरलेवेतो का सिवाइल गेवा गपुह है

क्योंकि 0.9 से लिखा पढ़े किया जाता है जिसको सच ह  
नाम नहीं जानते हैं। -

मैं नाम को बताने के निमित्त 1838 का Acquittance roll  
के मोताबिके शिदाकों नाम क्रमा क्रगत लिखते हैं

1. J. Toppo.
2. C. M. mundu
3. J. Monro
4. P. S. Kerkella
5. M. P. Tiru
6. M. Topono
7. N. mundu
8. P. Kongau.
9. M. Lakra.
10. S. Barla.
11. S. Topono

उपरोक्त नामों को देखने से पुरे समय के मनुसू  
रिमाक में नाम पर नहीं है तभी मूल को देख  
उछरते हैं -

गोविन्दपुर  
18-2-1838

नाम के मन्सूखीन  
पौंस-कोनगाड शिदाक  
एम-ई (मूल-गोविन्दपुर

Private.

Ranchi.  
May 21, 1934.

Babu Paulus Kongari,  
M. E. School, Gobindpur.

Dear Paulus Babu,

Ap ki private chithi ham ko mili aur us ke jabab men ham ap ko yahi likhte hain ki D.I. ke remark ke bare ap kuchh chinta mat kariye. Usne jo likha so se yahi malum hota hai ki Hd.m. ne ap ke birudh men us ke pas kya kya bola.hoga. D.I. jo Hd.m. se likha parhi karta hai ham ko chhorke us ke bare jadi bat barh jaega to ham D.I. ko pakrenge ki kahe wah waisa karta hai. Usko to ham se karbar karna chahiye par jadi wah Hd.m. se karbar karega to uske bare dekha jaega. Par ham ap ko yahi likhte hain ki ap apne kam men thik se rahiye. Whilai mat kariye. Note of lessons barabar likhte rahiye roj 2 aur class men bhi tejjit hokar apna kam dikhaiye to ap ko koi kisi men bol nahin sakega.

Age shubh,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi

No. 1494

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 20th September, 1932.

Sir,

2759

With reference to your letter No. -----  
1B- 3-1932  
dated the 10th September, 1932, I have the honour to submit the corrected G accounts of the Lutheran M. E. Schools at Burju, Govindpur and Lehardaga, for the months of January to March, 1932, as required by you.


The G accounts of Govindpur and Lehardaga M. E. Schools for the months of April to June, 1932, also have been corrected and are resubmitted to you and I request you to return to me their G accounts of those months which had been submitted to you before because the dues of the previous months have not been shown therein.

Further, I request you to return to me the provident fund statements of the Lehardaga M. E. School that have already been submitted. In their place fresh copies certified by the headmaster of the school are submitted to you. In the statements already submitted to you the names of the months have differently been put down by the headmaster.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

  
Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools.

Date of receipt	26-2-32	Ranchi.
Diary No.	744	
File No.	C-7(11)	
No. and date of reply	11804/81	
	26-7-32	



To,

The Headsuprvisor, Lutheran Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dear Sir,

Here I enclose the C accounts for the months of May and June 1932 prepared just as you have instructed me to do.

I am indèed very nervous in doing so but as I cannot go against your orders I have to <sup>do</sup> as you wish. I am sure I am running a great risk. It is you only upon whom I have my reliance for the safety. If you do not give me anything in writing to the effect that I did not receive the amount from Mr. Herenz, I do not know what dangers await me, *in your absence.*

As for calling Mr. Herenz to explain the difficulties I do not <sup>know</sup> how far it will be justifiable. You had called him for the explanation and now you have ceased to do that which means that you are now satisfied with his explanations, how we who are under you call him for an explanation. If you are not satisfied with the explanation I think you are in the process till now and as such I do not think any need to interfere nor do I ~~think~~ think any right to do so.

As regards going to him I think it will be too much on my part. He has never taken any trouble to come here although he has to explain so many difficulties.

Leaving aside the qn. of Rs. 306/8/-, I should get Rs. 9/15/- from him. Please therefore write him to refund that amount.

Yours Sincerely,

*J. S. Hd. M.*

No. 1181

Ranchi,  
July 26, 1932.

The Headmaster,  
M. E. School, Takarma.

Dear Jile Babu,

With reference to your letter No. 149 dated the 23rd instant enclosing the C account of your school for the months of May and June, 1932. I beg to inform you that I have asked Mr. Herenz to come to you to straighten out the accounts. It will be difficult for you to carry so many books to Lohardaga and go to him so he better come to you and in Takarma school office he will find all the books necessary to clear out the difficulty. I have also asked him to refund you Rs 9/15 in cash.

I shall be only satisfied with the accounts if you will be satisfied with the explanation of Mr. Herenz to keep the Takarma matter entirely thrashed out.

P.S.

Mr. Herenz has been given a fortnight time to come to Takarma to thrash out the matter after which you please report whether you were satisfied with his explanation.

V.S.

Yours sincerely,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1180

Ranchi.  
July 26, 1932.

The Headmaster,  
M. E. School, Lohardaga.

Dear Heran Babu,

I beg to inform you that Jile in Takarma is still in trouble as to how to explain the difficulty in the account book with regard to the Dec, 1930, refund money by you. The matter cannot be cleared unless you go to Takarma and explain to him thoroughly. You know quite well how the money was used and for which month it was paid to the teachers. I, therefore, request you to go to Takarma to thrash out all the difficulties that are in the way of the present Headmaster. It would be difficult for Jile to come to you with so many books for the purpose and so it is better for you to go to Takarma. The vouchers and receipts of all kinds are in Takarma. The postal vouchers of this office are for this office and they should not go out to any other place. I, therefore, give you time for a fortnight to go to Takarma and during this time the Takarma matters must have to be thrashed out and Mr. Jile be satisfied with all the accounts to make them clear to the auditor appointed by his school managing committee.

\* These cannot  
now be copied  
out to send  
them to you.

Apart from Rs 306/8 of Dec, 1930, you have to refund to him Rs 9/15 in cash which you please do soon that the Takarma accounts be kept clear and up-to-date.

Yours sincerely,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1478

Ranchi.  
September 14, 1932.

Babu Abiraham Hero,  
2nd Master, M. E. School, Burju.

Dear Abiraham Babu,

It has come to my knowledge that Babu Bixal is gone to his village to see his sick father and uncle. If it is so I request you to come to Ranchi without fail on either Thursday, the 15th inst or by the forenoon of the 16th with your cash book. The Head master had been asked to come to Ranchi but because he is already gone to his village before my letter reached him I now ask you to come in his place. Please bring along with you 1. The cash book of the School, 2. C acct of the school for July and August, 3. the D acct also for the months of July and August without fail.

Yours sincerely,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1499

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

*Depul*  
The District Inspector of Schools,  
Gumla.

Dated Ranchi, the 21st September, 1932.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1602  
1A-1-32  
dated the 14th September, 1932, I have the honour to in-  
form you that Mr. G. H. Herenz, former headmaster of the  
Takarna Lutheran M. E. School has refunded Rs 206-8- plus  
Rs 9-15- total Rs 316-7- to the school and this has been  
shown in the " C " account of the school for the month of  
May, 1932, already submitted to you.

On account of the mistake in the  
General fund as pointed out by the Auditor .... Rs 9-15-

Balance of all the accounts in the  
end of December, 1930, in the Cash Book ...Rs 306- 8-  
-----  
Total Rs 316- 7- 0

When, therefore, the above amount was refunded by Mr.  
Herenz all the discrepancies and irregularities pointed  
out by the Govt. Auditor have been adjusted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1602  
1A-1-32

From The Deputy Inspector of Schools,  
Gumla,  
To The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Dated, Gumla, the 14th September, 1932.

Sir,

In reference to your letter No.1381, dated the 31st August, 1932, stating that the discrepancies and irregularities pointed out by the Govt. Auditor have all been adjusted as instructed by him, I have the honour to observe as follows :-

A sum of Rs.306/8/- has been shown in Form C for May, 1932 as refunded by the Head Master of the school owing to the mistakes in payments etc., during the year 1930 and specially in the month of Dec.30-

But a sum of Rs.315-12-0 should have been refunded by the former Head Master. An account of this sum is given below :-

Difference in payment to teachers as per acquittance roll and amount entered in cash book. ....	....	... 14-14-0
Salary for Dec. 30 twice entered ....	....	✓ ...275-8-0
Closing balance of January 1930 under head contingency ...	... ✓	1-2-0
April, 1930. Rs.6/- transferred to games account but not entered ✓	✓	6-0-0
November, 1930- Transferred from General fund Rs.17/4/ but entered Rs.17/- only. ....	... ✓	0-4-0
December 1930 Contingent expenditure twice entered. ...	... ✓	18-0-0
		<u>315-12-0</u>

The difference of Rs.9/4/- should be ~~re-deposited~~ <sup>should be</sup> redeposited at an early date and an intimation sent to this office for correction of the accounts already submitted to my office.

The grant-in-aid bill for the 1st quarter of the Takarma M.E. school has however been passed in anticipation of receiving the correct accounts later on.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
Sh. A. Rahim  
Deputy Inspector of Schools,

14/9 Gumla.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools,

Ranchi.

Date of receipt	W-9-32
Amount	926
File No.	2-7(1)
No. and date of receipt	

No 29

From

The Headmaster,  
Brijn Lutheran, D. & E. School.

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

Brijn, the 6<sup>th</sup> April, 1932.

Dear Sir,

I am sending herewith, the copy of  
the minutes of the Managing Committee  
I request you to note its item NOS and  
approach the Department to let us  
grant no free studentship to the pupils  
excepting the teachers sons.

Yours sincerely,  
B. Munday  
Headmaster  
Brijn.

1004

From

The Board of  
George Washington School

to the Board of  
George Washington School, Kansas

Boeing, the 1st April, 1932

Dear Sir,

I am writing to you in regard to the  
the interest of the Board of  
I request you to visit in the  
I am writing to you in regard to the  
I am writing to you in regard to the  
I am writing to you in regard to the

9-4-32  
125  
C-1

Dr. ...  
George Washington School  
Boeing

Minutes of the Managing Committee  
Brijn M.S. School,  
2<sup>nd</sup> April, 1932.

1. Opening:— The meeting was opened with a prayer.
2. Attendance:— Following members were present for the meeting:  
Babu Samuel Puri  
" Jayram Puri  
" A. Hero  
" B. Mundu  
Rev. M. Puri. Secretary.

Babu D. Puri, the vice-chairman was not present for this meeting & therefore Babu S. Puri was voted to the chair.

3. Minutes of the previous meeting:— The minutes of the previous meeting were read and confirmed.
4. Daka's contribution:— Reported that the Daka gave Rs 35/- for the teachers' salaries for the month of January, 1932.

Resolved:— That the M. Committee keep on record and accept it with thanks, the Daka's contribution of Rs 35/- for the school.

5. Item No 4 of the minutes of the M.C. on 30.1.32

The headmaster reported that the Head Supervisor verbally informed him that the Department has not been approached as yet to allow us to grant no free studentship to the pupils this year except to the sons of the teachers.

Resolved:— That the Head Supervisor be reminded to approach the Department.

The meeting was closed with a short prayer.

Secretary.

From

The Headmaster  
Bunjin Lutheran M.E. School.

To

The Head Supervisor  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.Bunjin, the 6<sup>th</sup> April, 1931.

Dear Sir,

In course of our conversation with Boubu J. Hennum to make him sign the acquittance rolls for the full salaries of September, 1931 - to January 32 he said that he would again apply for February pay, failing to get which he would demand full salary for January 1932. He does ~~he~~ intend to do so as if he is being removed from the service for good.

If he at all apply ~~of~~ as above then I request you to send me a copy of his ~~the~~ application for my office.

I hear that he has got an advance of Rs 20/- from you; if so, please send me an intimation of the same.

Yours sincerely,  
B. Munda  
H.S. No. 1



From

The Headmaster  
Brijn Lutheran Div. School, Bourji.

To

The Head Supervisor  
Lutheran Schools, Panchi.

Bourji, the 7<sup>th</sup> April, 1932.

Dear Sir,

I acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 619-24 of 4<sup>th</sup> April, 1932 and beg to say that in the January, we have made a contribution of Rs 15/- for Library. So will there be any objection from the Inspector if we make a contribution of Rs 2/15/- more and stop further contribution, for Rs 15/- + Rs 2/15/- will make Rs 17/15/- or if it be distributed over the whole year it will be a contribution of Rs 1/8/- a month.

Similarly if we can manage even with less than Rs 5/- a month, under contingencies, will there be any objection?

If there be no objections then the Receipts expected and expenditure proposed are as follows:-

Receipt	-	Expenditure
Fees - Rs 30-0-0	1	Prize - Nil.
From Com- gregation - 35-0-0	2	Library - Nil.
Grant - 88-0-0	3	Contingencies Rs 4-8-0
	4	Servant - 4-12-0 Rs 9-4-0
	5	Managers' Contri- bution for Pr. Fund 6-9-0
	6	Teachers' own Contri- bution for the Pr. F. 13-2-0 Rs 11-0-0
	7	Repair - 8-0-0
	8	+ 50% of the full Salaries of teachers 115-8-0 Rs 115-8-0
Rs 155-0-0		153-7-0

*make copy of  
the M.C. of M.S.  
Schools*

From

The Headmaster

So looking at the above Receipt and expenditure, even if we make contribution for Library Rs 1/87- and spend Rs 4/87- for Contingencies the expenditure falls within the Receipt.

I acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 619-24 of 4th April, 1932 and beg to say that in the January, we have made a contribution of Rs 15/- for library. There will be any objection from you, we will make a contribution of Rs 1/87- and stop further contribution. If it be distributed over the whole year it will be a contribution of Rs 1/87- a month.

Yours sincerely,  
B. Munde  
Headmaster, Brijn.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran School

we can manage even with less than Rs 1/87- a month, under contingencies will be no objection?

Date of receipt	11-4-32
Library No.	437
File No.	2007
No. and date of reply	

There will be no objections then the Receipt and expenditure proposed in the

Receipt

Expenditure

Fees - Rs 30----	1 Prize - Nil.
From Congregation - 35----	2 Library - Nil.
Grant - 88----	3 Contingencies Rs 4-8--
	4 Servant - 4-12-Rs 9-6-
	5 Managers' Contri. - 6-9-
	6 Teachers' own Contri. - 13-2-19-8-
	7 Repairs - 8-... 8-...
	8 50% of the full Salaries of teachers 115- 8-115-7-

Rs 155-

155-7

Private

Lohardaga

7-4-32

Pigore Chai,

Sr. No. Piousahay.

Ajkal ham log Johar ki daya se aake  
ham aur asha hai ki ap log bhi aise hi hongy.

Ap ki 4 talikh ki Chitri meighe milei  
us men ap ne likha hai ki "Ham ne Head Master ko  
likha hai aur ab rok tok nahin Karega" per rok tok  
ho gai hai so aisa hua:-

Head Master Sahel ne ek Chitri meighe ko diya:-  
55 No 1.

The under document is forwarded to Balu Ghalu  
and Balu Bishram Choudhary for information.

(Sd) C. H. Meisinger.

"A letter no 618 (d) April 4, 1932 from the Head Supervisor  
Lathuan School, Ranchi."

"Dear Sirs Balu"

Kindly atonce report whether Balu  
Ghalu (Chakra) has rejoined the school work. If he has not  
yet done it please ask him soon to join the school for  
this is the order of the C. I.

Yrs Sincerely  
Sd/ C. H. Meisinger

Head Supervisor

Lathuan School, Ranchi.

Is ke anusar main School gaya aur Head Master ko  
apna joining report aisa diya:-

66/10

The Head Master,

Lutheran Church Ch. E. School, Dehradun

Dated the 2<sup>nd</sup> April 1932.

Dear Sir

Sir, In obedience to the order contained in your letter no. 1 dated 5-4-32, I have the honor to inform you that I have joined the abovesaid School to day.

Further I request you to give me my routine.

I have the honor to be

Yours most obedt. Servt.  
G. L. Ober

Ispar Headmaster ne kaha ki ham ne Head Supervisor ki Chitri ka kewal Information diya aur ap ko School join karne ko to nahin kaha hai so abhi rahne dijiye. Birbaram balen ne dartheast dake ujur kiya hai us ka kisi jawab ab tak nahin aya hai aur Padri balen ko bhi nahin likha gaya hai so jawab aane dijiye aur sabbai hone dijiye tab aige. Ispar ham ne apne joining report wapas manga to us ne kaha ki rahne dijiye, tale ham laut aye.

Ham likha parhi karti karti haiwan ho rahi hain na malum Head Master ka mallele kya hai aur kya karne chatta hai aur kaha aisa karta hai par itna malum hai ki Birbaram Balen Head Master se bolta hai ki ham School nahin chhodega, kaise chhurate hain aur ham ko 8 mahine ka tabala 33 repaira karke ap se lenge. Ho sakta hai isse Head Master dohidha me pare ham

Birbaram balen bhi Kanchi ja rahi hain  
panchaiti ka tyag.

Agg. Spubb  
from  
G. L. Ober

*Its Supervisor*

No. 1157  
2D-4-1932.

From

The District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

To

The Deputy Inspector of Schools, Gumla,  
Rector R.C. Mission, Ranchi, Head  
Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi,  
and Headmasters of aided M.E. Schools  
in the district.

Dated Ranchi, the 9th April, 1932.

Sir,

I have the honour to request ~~you~~ that you will report on 30th April positively the name of any Matriculation teacher in your school/any matric teacher or experienced teacher of English serving in aided M.E. School under your control, whom you wish to depute for one year's course of training in Ranchi Secondary Training School next session. The following particulars should be given:-

1. Name and designation.
2. Qualifications.
3. Age on 1st July 1932.
4. Length of service in a recognised M.E. or high school.
5. Present pay.

Note:- A candidate who has not served for at least five years in an aided school or who is not prepared to take up the whole range of subjects prescribed for the C.T. Examination and to appear at the public examination at the end of his one year's course, will not be eligible for deputation.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

*B. B. Prasad*  
For District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

A.H.  
9/4/32.

19

MAPS OR PLANS.  SPARE COPIES:  CLASS OF PAPERS:  REPLY RECEIVED NO.            DATE	<b>Office of the</b>  To  THE	TO BE MARKED FOR FAKID	
		DEPARTMENT	
		BRANCH	
		COLLECTION NO.	
		NUMBER AND YEAR OF FILE	
		SERIAL NO. IN FILE	
		DATE OF DES- PATCH.	

REMARKS ISSUED—

1st no.           , dated

2nd no.           , dated

3rd no.           , dated

No.                           dated

SIR,

ENCLOSURES—

Local Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools

Date received	11-4-32
File No.	435
No. and date of reply	e-7

# Memorandum.

From,

P. Hurad, Secretary

G. E. L. Church,

Chota Nagpur & Assam.

**RANCHI.**

No. ~~1039-44/32.~~  
F.-43.

LUTHERAN COMPOUND,

Ranchi ~~the 21st. March,~~ 19 32.

To ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Head-Supervisor,

Lutheran Compound, Ranchi.


Dear Sir,

Please let me have such items of subjects which you think we should put down in the Agenda of the next Full Council for discussion and placing them before the General Conference.

An early compliance is requested.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools

Yours sincerely,



Date of receipt	31-3-32
File No.	386
Case No.	15-1
Received date	
of 19	

No. 1672  
II-4-32

From

The Inspector of Schools,  
Chota Nagpur Division,

To

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, ~~Chota Nagpur Division~~  
RANCHI.

Ranchi, the 20<sup>th</sup> April, 1932.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 656, dated the 16th April, 1932, I have the honour to state that I am glad to note the successful efforts that have been made to straighten up the situation and I trust that g financial arrangements for the future will be such as to prevent the recurrence of such arrears.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools.  
Ranchi.

Date of receipt	21-4-32
Copy No.	453
File No.	e-7
No. and date of reply	

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Offg. Inspector of Schools.

D.P.

No. 978  
IR - 1 - 1932

From

The District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

To

The Sub-Inspectors of Schools,  
Ranchi District.

Dated Ranchi, the 19th March, 1932.

Sir,

I have the honour to request you as usual to kindly make all references regarding Annual Returns and quinquennial Report of the year 1931-32 to Babu J.N. Mukherji, Sub-Inspector of Schools, Sadar West Circle, Ranchi, at the office of the District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi, as he is the officer in charge of the District Returns and Reports.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

*Markus Lall*  
District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

A.H.

Memo. No. 979  
IR-1-1932

Ranchi, the 19th March, 1932.

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Gumla, Rector, R.C. Mission, Ranchi, Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi and Principals, Headmasters and Secretaries of all High, Middle, E.T. and Special schools in the Ranchi District (except Headmaster, Ranchi Zila School) for information and with the request that all references regarding Annual Returns etc. may kindly be made with the officer named above.

*Markus Lall*  
District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

A.H.

19/3

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools,  
Ranchi.

14.3.32

Date of receipt	29-3-32
Sl. No.	367
File No.	C-7
Name and date of issue	

19

**Office of the**

MAPS OR PLANS.

SPARE COPIES:

CLASS OF PAPERS:

REPLY RECEIVED:  
NO. DATE

TO BE MARKED FOR TAKID

DEPARTMENT

BRANCH

COLLECTION NO.

NUMBER AND YEAR OF FILE

SERIAL NO. IN FILE

DATE OF DISPATCH

To  
**THE**

No. , dated

**REMINDERS ISSUED—**

1st no. , dated

2nd no. , dated

3rd no. , dated

**SIR,**

**ENCLOSURES—**

No. 584

From

The Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

To

The District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 30th March, 1932.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1028/1B-3-  
32 dated 27. 3. 32 I have the honour to inform you that grants of all kinds for the year 1931-32 for all schools have been paid to me except the grant for the Chainpur M Lutheran U. P. School for the months of January and February 1932, although bills for it have been submitted to the sub-Inspector of schools, <sup>Chainpur</sup> ~~Kaxra~~ Circle. If the grant be not paid to me for this school by to-morrow, the 31st March, I am afraid that ~~the~~ grant it will lapse to the Government and in that case I would request you to advise me what I should do get it.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Head Supervisor,

Lutheran Schools, Ranchi.

No. 1028  
LB-3-1932

From

The District Inspector of Schools, Ranchi.

To

The Head Supervisor, Lutheran Schools, Ranchi,  
Rector, R.C. Mission, Ranchi and Secretaries  
of non-mission aided M.E. Schools in the  
district of Ranchi.

Dated Ranchi, the 27th March, 1932.

Sir,

I have the honour to request you to be good enough  
to see that the grant-in-aid bills or bills of any descrip-  
tion, if any, yet held in abeyance should be submitted to  
me at once, in order that they may be cashed as soon as they  
are returned duly passed. The grants for the current year  
will lapse to Government if they are not drawn from the  
treasury before the 31st March, 1932.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*J. M. M. 5/13*  
District Inspector of Schools,  
Ranchi.

A.H.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools

Date of receipt	29-3-32
Draw No.	365
File No.	C-7
No. and date of reply	