

# GOSSNER EVANGELICAL – LUTHERAN CHURCH IN CHOTANAGPUR AND ASSAM

## GELC ARCHIVE

Signature: **GELC-A \_ 001 \_ 0792**

Classification:

Original File No: A - 3

### **Title**

Dist. Superintendant of Education, Ranchi

Volume:

Running from year: 1961

till year: 1963

### **Content:**

- Letter Correspondence with Dist. Superintendent of Education.
- Points for discussion.
- List of Building repair grant.

A-3.

रांची - डिस्ट्रिक्ट सुपरिन्टेन्डेन्ट

# NAG'S

1961-63

IFILAT IFILIE

EXTRA THICK QUALITY.

Head Supervisor,  
Lutheran Schools,  
RANCHI.

File No. A-3

me Lutheran School

Subject DIST. SUPERINTENDENT OF EDN.  
RANCHI

1. Nos.                      to

Copy

OFFICE OF THE EDUCATION OFFICER FOR LUTHERAN SCHOOLS,  
RANCHI.  
No 148 /63-HS-3-A Dated the 8th August 1963.

To

The District Superintendent of Education,  
R A N C H I.

Dear Sir,

The following candidates are being sent to be interviewed by the Planning Committee. I have selected them for appointment in the G.E.L. Church M.E. School Lombei under Kolebira Circle in leave vacancies. Although one of them is untrained I request that they be included in the pannel.

1. Sri Isahak Kandulna, <sup>Pre university pannel.</sup> matric (I.A. fail) At & P.O. Lombei, Ranchi
2. Sushil Gabriel Bage matric E.T. At & P.O. Lombei, Ranchi.

cc  
-  
Sri Huan Samad  
Superior to Anshu/Sealant  
Kadma, Ranchi

Yours faithfully,

*[Signature]* 8/8/63  
Education Officer for  
Lutheran Schools.

H-3

POINTS FOR DISCUSSION - Education .

- (1) Loss of fee income to middle schools - ( Mission schools )  
(a) Why are M.E. school recognised after 1949 not paid loss of fee income i.e., loss sustained by the school due to exemption of tuition fees of Adibasi students in classes VI & VII . These school should get the loss of fee income as other pre-49 schools are getting.
- (2) Grant-in-aid to Middle schools.  
Grant-in-aid to M.E.schools(Mission) recognised after 1949 do not get grant-in-aid. They are entitled to get. Government should be moved for it.
- (3) Grant-in-aid to Primary schools( G.E.L.Church schools)  
Grant in aid to GELC Primary school are paid through the teachers towards payment of their Basic salary. That is, formerly the grant used to be received by the school Secretaries. Now the grant is distributed among the teachers who have to submit their monthly bills. In large number of cases grant in aid to teachers is due for many years. Bills have been and are still regularly submitted to the District Superintendent of Education, Ranchi through the area S.I.Schools but payment is held up at the DSE's office. No special reasons are given for it. GELC schools under Thethaitangar Circle have not received this grant since 1957, although Bills are regularly submitted. It should be known that this grant is meant for the payment of Basic salary of teachers.  
D.S.E. should be asked to regularise payment, making it up to date.
- (4) E.I.P.Units:  
In allotting E.I.P.Units Mission authorities are not consulted. In many cases EIP Unit is given to a Mission school even when the Mission authorities object to it. In several cases mission schools have been converted to non-mission schools after sanctioning EIP Unites in them, without the knowledge of the Mission authorities.  
Example:- 1) Sarnatoli GELC U.P.School- Khunti circle  
2) Kocho " " L.P.School- Ichardaga Circle  
3) Jurdag " " U.P.School- Kunkuk circle Karra  
Attempt is also being made to snatch away the following schools from the Mission:-  
1) Kumbhari Prop.Luth.M.E.School- Basia Circle  
2) Umbulbaha " " " " - Tamar Circle  
The EIP scheme has created a confusion- it has affected the status of the schools- It has undermined the Proprietaryship of the Mission schools.  
The DSE of the Planning commission should discuss this question with the Mission authorities.
- (5) Recognition of Proposed Schools and Education Survey Report  
Although the Govt.spent huge sums on Educational survey 99% of the Prop.schools run by private bodies like the Missions have been left out. The existing proposed schools should be invariably included in the survey list. Non-inclusion of existing proposed Mission schools have debarred them from receiving recognition from the Education department.  
The following schools have fulfilled all the condition for securing recognition as full fledged schools-  
1) Gopalpur Luth.M.E.School (Prop)- Lapung circle  
2) Tapkara " " " " - Torpa II "  
3) Konsode " " " " - Bano "  
4) Lumboi " " " " - Kolehira South "  
5) Kereya " " " " - Thethaitangar "  
Other schools have partly fulfilled their condition- It is expected that within next three months all other proposed schools will fulfil their conditions.
- W. S. 26/7/62



A-3

Building Repair Grant to  
the H.E.L. Mission Schools

applied on 30/1/61

572

1. Rajabasa H.E.L. U.P. School	Thethaitangr Circle	500/-
2. Bherikudar H.E.L. L.P.	Sindepa Circle	500/-
3. Tapkara H.E.L. U.P.	Torpa II Circle	500/-
4. Umbulhaha H.E.L. U.P.	Tama Circle	500/-
5. Komsaday H.E.L. U.P.	Bano Circle	500/-
6. Domtoly H.E.L. U.P.	Kolehera	500/-
7. Tatikurkura H.E.L. U.P.	<del>Kotab</del> Kombir	500/-
8. Jonhatoly H.E.L. U.P.	Kolehera	500/-
9. Kolomdega H.E.L. U.P.	Koluhira	300/-
10. Rajab Keraya H.E.L. U.P.	Thethaitangr	300/-
11. Kutnia H.E.L. U.P.	"	500/-
12. Gopalpur H.E.L. U.P.	Lapung	500/-
13. Amma H.E.L. L.P.	Torpa	300/-
14. Ukrimandi H.E.L. L.P.	Torpa	300/-
15. Jaldega H.E.L. L.P.	Bano	300/-
16. Basukocha H.E.L. L.P.	Tama I	300/-
17. Kochedega U.P.	Kurdep.	300/-
18. Anlana M.E.	Tama I	500/-
19. Ranikhatangr U.P.		500/-
20. Seranghatu L.P.		300/-
21. Kumbhatoly L.P.		300/-
22. Jamadaha L.P.		300/-
		<u>Rs. 9000/-</u>

$$\begin{array}{r} 500 \times 12 \\ 6000 \\ 3000 \\ \hline 9000 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 300 \times 10 \\ 3000 \end{array}$$

W. S. S.  
 30/1/61  
 Headmaster  
 H. S. S. School  
 Ranali

Dear Director Storch,

I believe you will be going out to  
Lohardugga and as you told me the other day Mr. Lakes  
will go along with you. In this connection may I mention  
that as this is your official visitation, it would be well  
if you would <sup>accompany you</sup> a member of the Church Council, who <sup>may</sup> see it  
may be, ~~go~~ to Lohardugga. He may not speak a word  
but his presence, I think, is necessary, as the Council is the  
recognized executive body of the Church.

I trust you will see my point & see the need of  
a representative of the Council at Lohardugga.

Yours sincerely,  
Jas. S. G.

~~25~~  
25/10/35

Dear Mr Hurad.

I have just been decided  
to go out, I therefore cannot attend our  
C.C. meeting of today for which I am very  
sorry. I hope you will kindly excuse

Yours sincerely  
Samuel Pinn

25.X.35-